DELEGATE GRANT: I would suggest to the Chair that that is probably too narrow a definition of the word "law". There are certain constitutional provisions which certain obligations are bottomed on and bonding comes to mind.

That would have to be carried over. 9 would carry over except for the last clause. GP-12, being one of the provisions, says that the 1867 Constitution then is no longer effective.

THE CHAIRMAN: The first part dealing with the continuance of law was not intended to be affected by the last clause. The last clause was continuance of actions. I would agree with you, you might have some actions continued. This clause would continue it or at least it is intended to continue notwithstanding the fact that the Constitution itself by virtue of the provisions of GP-12 would cease to have effect on some given date.

Now, is your problem one where you don't see how the right to continue if the Constitution on which it was based did not continue.

Delegate Grant.